EXHIBIT 13

```
Page 1
1
            CONFIDENTIAL - LEONG PENG TAN
2
    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
    SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
    Case No. 18-CV-01047 (PGG)
3
4
    EIG ENERGY FUND XIV, L.P.,
5
    EIG ENERGY FUND XIV-A, L.P.,
    EIG ENERGY FUND XIV-B, L.P.,
6
7
    EIG ENERGY FUND XIV (CAYMAN), L.P.,
8
    EIG ENERGY FUND XV, L.P.,
9
    EIG ENERGY FUND XV-A, L.P.,
10
    EIG ENERGY FUND XV-B, L.P., and
11
    EIG ENERGY FUND XV (CAYMAN), L.P.,
12
                            Plaintiffs,
13
            - against -
14
    KEPPEL OFFSHORE & MARINE LTD.,
15
                       Defendant.
16
              * * * CONFIDENTIAL * * *
17
                30(b)(6) DEPOSITION
18
             VIA ZOOM VIDEOCONFERENCING
19
                         OF
20
             KEPPEL OFFSHORE & MARINE LTD.
21
                   BY AND THROUGH
22
                   LEONG PENG TAN
23
               Thursday, July 15, 2021
24
    Reported By:
    LINDA J. GREENSTEIN
    JOB NO. 4662403
25
```

Page 129

1 CONFIDENTIAL - LEONG PENG TAN 2 Does this refresh your 3 recollection that the Brazilian officials referred to in the DPA were Mr. Barusco and 4 5 Mr. Duque? I don't know how that conclusion 6 7 can be drawn, but I think still -- I would 8 still say refer to the DPA. 9 Q. Okay. Well the question is, do 10 you know whether any KOM executives had any 11 discussions in person about bribes with either Mr. Barusco or Mr. Duque? 12 13 Α. Yeah. I understand that, I 14 understand what you say, what you're 15 asking. And my answer to that is as I 16 provide you just now, is that in regards to 17 the bribe in Brazil, I think you have to 18 refer back to the DPA, what has been 19 counted there, stated there. 20 Okav. But the DPA does not tell 0. 21 me whether or not there were discussions 22 with Mr. Barusco or Mr. Duque on the 23 subject of bribes, does it? 24 Objection to the MR. BARBUR:

form of the question. The document

25

Page 130 1 CONFIDENTIAL - LEONG PENG TAN 2 speaks for itself. 3 Α. Yeah, the document speak for itself. 4 5 0. You have no understanding as to who Brazilian Officials 1 and 2 are; is 6 7 that correct, sir? 8 Α. Yes, as to what I said before. 9 0. And when did Keppel first learn 10 that private entities were going to fund 11 Sete? 12 Can you repeat your first part 13 of your question? 14 The question was, when did 15 Keppel first learn that private entities 16 were going to fund Sete? 17 Α. I don't know when you consider 18 as when you know. We all know that all 19 projects will require financing, okay? 20 when Sete Brazil approached us to provide 21 quotation to build the semi-submersible, 22 okay, we just naturally, I would say that 23 all opportunity in financing. 24 Okay. So using that same logic, Q. 25 at the time that you learned that Sete was

Page 131 1 CONFIDENTIAL - LEONG PENG TAN 2 going to contract for the remaining 21 rigs 3 as well as seven rigs with EAS, Keppel 4 understood that Sete would require some 5 form of equity financing; right, sir? No, I -- Sete will require 6 Α. 7 financing. 8 Q. Okay. So then the question is, 9 when did Keppel first learn that Sete would 10 require equity financing? 11 Α. Equity financing ... 12 I think it's time when I think 13 we believe we have spoken about potentially 14 having a joint venture for one of the 15 units. 16 Okay. We'll get to that later, 0. 17 the joint venture. 18 MS. PAK: So I've introduced a 19 document that was previously marked as 20 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6. 21 It's an e-mail chain Bates 22 stamped KEPPEL00547339 to 340, 3-4-0. 23 (Previously marked 24 Plaintiffs' Exhibit 6 for 25 identification shown to the witness.)

```
Page 132
1
            CONFIDENTIAL - LEONG PENG TAN
2
    BY MS. PAK.
3
         Q.
                Let me know when you see it.
         Α.
4
                Okay. I see the e-mail.
5
         0.
                Okay.
                MS. PAK: Give me one second.
6
7
    BY MS. PAK:
                And this e-mail was forwarded to
8
          0.
9
    you by Mr. Jeff Chow, if you look at the
10
    top e-mail, on January 10, 2011.
11
                Do you see that, sir?
12
         Α.
                Yes.
13
          Q.
                And he wrote "Strictly
14
    Confidential."
15
                Right?
16
         Α.
                Yes.
17
         Q.
                And is it -- what is your
18
    understanding as to why he's continuing to
19
    send you information about Brazil that is
20
    strictly confidential?
                I'm not -- I can't say why.
21
22
         Q.
                And if you look at the bottom
23
    e-mail, it's from YY Chow dated January 9,
24
    2011, and it includes a bunch of people,
    including CB Choo and CH Tong.
25
```

Page 229

CONFIDENTIAL - LEONG PENG TAN CERTIFICATE

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1

2

I, Linda J. Greenstein, Professional Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby certify that, LEONG PENG TAN, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by the witness to the best of my skill and ability.

I further certify that I am neither related to or employed by any of the parties in or counsel to this action, nor am I financially interested in the outcome of this action.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 16th day of July 2021.

20

21

Linda J. Greenstein

23

24

22

My commission expires: January 30, 2025

25